

# Using the G-rap Baseline Survey

Overview of results &  
Some strategy choices...

5th July 2006

Accra

1

## Summary of results

- RAO *relationships*:
  - 14 respondents. Not ARK or FIDA
- RAO *engagement with GPRS*:
  - 11 respondents. Not ASDR, AWLA, ARK, FIDA, TWN
- Results on website & in pdf. Raw data available in Excel

5th July 2006

Accra

2



## G-rap LF Purposes refer to...

- *Strategic* set of RAO relationships with GoG, parliament, and MDBS donors
- *Strategic* set of RAO relationships with CBOs
- *Improved cooperation* between RAOs
- AND RAO autonomy is not undermined through participation in G-RAP

5th July 2006

Accra

5

## Strategy involves CHOICES:

- *Which organisations* to work with and why
  - Priorities, and who not to work with
- Who should be making those choices
  - RAOs
  - Donors, and others ?
- G-RAP PMT role: a neutral broker?
  - To help RAOs & Donors make choices?
  - How and Where? (= the G-rap strategy)

5th July 2006

Accra

6

## How can G-rap help?

1. Pool information about relationships into one big view, and feed it back, to widen choices by RAOs, and donors
2. Deliberately link most isolated actors?
3. Work with most connected actors, building on what has been done?

*Examples to follow...*

5th July 2006

Accra

7

## Where can G-rap help? Which networks to focus on?


- Actors x Actors networks
  - RAOs x GoG bodies [Fig.1]
  - RAOs x RAOs [Fig.2]
  - RAOs x CBOs and local NGOs [Fig.3]
  - GoG x Donors (Committees, Funding)
- Actors x Issues (potential) networks
  - RAOs x GPRS issues [Fig.4]
- Actors x Locations (potential) networks
  - CBOs x Districts, RAOs x Districts

5th July 2006


Accra

8


# Example networks...Fig. 1



# Example networks...Fig. 2



## Example networks...Fig. 3



11

## Issue networks


- RAOs also linked by common interests in GPRS themes and sub-themes
  - Potential more than actual linkages
  - Problem: changing “menu” of issues
- Yet more potential for G-rap to add value here because knowledge is less complete
- Are there other sets of issues should G-RAP use to identify common concerns instead?

5th July 2006

Accra

12

## Example networks...Fig. 4



## The other half: Donor networks

- Types: Donors x Themes, Donors x Donors, Donors x GoG
- Data sources: WB donor surveys
  - But not fully comparable (GPRS menu) to RAO baseline data
- Types of connections
  - Issue networks
  - Funding relations
  - Committee mediated relations
- Demand from RAOs?

5th July 2006

Accra

14

## G-rap's peer network

- Sister Funding agencies
  - GAIT, BUSAC, IBIS CS, RAVI, CEF
  - Linked by some common donors and grantees. A + B + C = a bigger picture
- Information sharing is on track
  - Re specific data to share
- Feeding back aggregate picture yet to come
  - Where to focus this activity, to be decided: Grantees?

5th July 2006

Accra

15

## Summary of choices

- What networks of relationships should G-rap focus on?
- How active should it be when facilitating relationships within those networks
  - Pool views only, work on isolates, work with most connected?
- If it does not take such a role, how else should its strategy be defined?

5th July 2006

Accra

16